



**JENNY R. PITTMAN**  
Counsel for ORS

Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street  
Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201  
(803) 737-0800  
ORS.SC.GOV

October 28, 2019

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire  
Chief Clerk & Administrator  
Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (H.3659) Proceeding to Establish Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Standard Offer, Avoided Cost Methodologies, Form Contract Power Purchase Agreements, Commitment to Sell Forms, and Any Other Terms or Conditions Necessary (Includes Small Power Producers as Defined in 16 United States Code 796, as Amended) - S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-20(A)  
**Docket No. 2019-184-E**

Dear Ms. Boyd:

On October 23, 2019, Mr. Goldin, counsel for Johnson Development Associates, Incorporated ("JDA"), sent an E-Mail to Mr. Stark, an attorney for the South Carolina Public Service Commission ("Commission"), in which Mr. Goldin, proposed that intervenors in the above-mentioned docket be permitted to submit "'commercially reasonable fixed price power purchase agreement with a duration longer than ten years' with 'additional terms, conditions, and/or rate structures' for approval by the Commission."<sup>1</sup> In his E-Mail, Mr. Goldin proposed that intervenors be permitted to file proposal until October 31, 2019, and that Dominion Energy South Carolina has until November 6, 2019, to file comments in response.

As a result, the Commission issued Order No. 2019-126-H, in which it stated that "[a]ll parties wishing to comment or respond to the proposal raised by the [SCSBA] and [JDA] in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Goldin's E-Mail request was made on behalf of both his client, JDA, and the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Incorporated ("SCSBA").

Letter – Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire  
Page 2 of 2  
October 28, 2019

email dated October 23, 2019, must file such comments or responses by Monday, October 28, 2019 – by 12:00 noon.

The South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff (“ORS”) has reviewed the E-Mail request submitted on behalf of JDA and SCSBA. ORS has no objection to the request provided that no new evidence or testimony is filed by the parties and that any proposal submitted to the Commission is based on the evidence currently in the record. Additionally, ORS reserves its right to respond to any proposal submitted in its proposed order.

Sincerely,



Jenny R. Pittman

cc: Joseph Melchers, Esquire (via E-mail)  
Other Parties of Record (via E-mail)